arXiv:1702.04368v1 [math-ph] 14 Feb 2017

THE CLASSICAL LIMIT OF QUANTUM OBSERVABLES IN CONSERVATION LAWS OF FLUID DYNAMICS

MATTIAS SANDBERG AND ANDERS SZEPESSY

Abstract. Irving and Zwanzig [Irving J.H. and Zwanzig R.W., J. Chem. Phys. 19 (1951), 1173-1180] have shown that quantum observables for macroscopic density, momentum and energy satisfy the conservation laws of fluid dynamics. The classical limit of these quantum observables would be useful in order to determine constitutive relations for the stress tensor and the heat flux by molecular dynamics simulations. This work derives the corresponding classical molecular dynamics limit by extending Irving and Zwanzig's result to matrix valued potentials. The matrix formulation provides the semi-classical limit of the quantum observables in the conservation laws for a canonical ensemble, also in the case where the temperature is large compared to the electron eigenvalue gaps. The main new steps to obtain the molecular dynamics limit is to: (i) approximate the dynamics of quantum observables accurately by classical dynamics, by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian using a non linear eigenvalue problem, (ii) define the local energy density by partitioning a general potential, applying perturbation analysis of the electron eigenvalue problem, (iii) determine the molecular dynamics stress tensor and heat flux in the case of several excited electron states, and (iv) construct the initial particle phase-space density from the canonical quantum ensemble conditioned on macroscopic observable values for the initial density, momentum and energy.

Contents

1. Motivation for this work 1
2. The conservation laws 4
3. The conservation laws derived from classical particle dynamics 4
3.1. The conservation of mass 6
3.2. The conservation of momentum 6
3.3. The conservation of energy 8
4. The conservation laws derived from quantum mechanics 10
5. The classical limit of the quantum conservation laws 13
5.1. Solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem 17
6. The quantum observable initial data 18
7. The partial derivatives $\partial_{r^{jk}}\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{x})$ 20
References 20

1. Motivation for this work

The macroscopic conservation laws for mass, momentum and energy are the basis for continuum fluid mechanics. These conservation laws are formulated in terms of the stress tensor and the heat flux. In order to form a closed system, constitutive relations for the stress tensor and the heat flux can be used. Such constitutive relations can be determined approximately from measurements or from molecular dynamics simulations. In both cases

The research was supported by Swedish Research Council 621-2014-4776 and the Swedish e-Science Research Center.

one seeks the stress tensor and the heat flux as functions of density, momentum and energy and their derivatives. The molecular dynamics formulation requires a derivation of the stress tensor and the heat flux as functions of the particle dynamics, which is the focus of this work.

The stress tensor and the heat flux were first derived by Irving and Kirkwood [9] from molecular dynamics systems based on interaction with scalar pair potentials and has later been modified by Noll [16] and Hardy [8] . These formulations have been used frequently to numerically determine the constitutive relations, cf. [7]. For instance, the work [18] includes comparisons of different methods to numerically determine the stress tensor in molecular dynamics simulations.

Already in 1951 Irving and Zwanzig [10] showed that quantum observables for the density, momentum and energy satisfy the conservation laws and derived observables for the stress tensor and the heat flux. Since it is only at the quantum level the particle interaction is determined from fundamental principles their result provides a solid foundation for the basic conservation laws in continuum mechanics. This property that the observables for the density, momentum and energy satisfy the conservation laws does not mean that a closed system of conservation laws is derived, since the derived stress tensor and the heat flux are not determined as constitutive functions of the macroscopic conservation variables. To form a closed system would include the additional step to use data from molecular dynamics or measurements to find the constitutive functions, which is not studied here.

Irving and Zwanzig used a quantum model with the Hamiltonian given by a sum of kinetic energy and scalar pair potential energy including all particles, i.e. both the nuclei and the electrons. The aim of this work is to extend the derivation by Irving and Zwanzig to a setting with a matrix valued Hamiltonian consisting of a sum of the kinetic energy of the nuclei (times the identity matrix) and a matrix representing the electron kinetic energy, the electron-electron, electron-nuclei, and nuclei-nuclei interaction. The purpose of having a matrix for the electron part in the Hamiltonian is to replace the time evolution for the electrons by the Schrödinger electron eigenvalue problem. An advantage with including the electron part as a matrix valued operator is that the classical limit, as the nuclei-electron mass ratio tends to infinity, has been derived [17] and [11], and by knowing the classical limit it can be simulated by ab initio molecular dynamics for nuclei with the potential generated by the electron eigenvalue problem. For instance, one may ask how the observables of the density, momentum, energy, stress tensor and heat flux are effected by the possibility of excited electron states and how these observables should be computed in molecular dynamics simulations. This question is answered in Theorem 5.1. The classical molecular dynamics limit of quantum observables in [11] is for the setting of constant temperature in the canonical ensemble and shows for instance how the potential is modified, also when the difference of the excited and ground state electron eigenvalue is not large compared to the temperature.

The time evolution of the conserved quantum observables uses the ingenious observation by Irving and Zwanzig that the commutator of the Hamiltonian operator and the quantum observable, based on a polynomial of degree at most two in the momentum coordinate, becomes equal to the Weyl quantization of the Poisson bracket. Combined with the observation that the observables for density, momentum and energy are polynomials of at most degree two in the momentum coordinate, the quantum observables therefore satisfy the same conservation laws as in the derivation based on classical particle dynamics by Irving and Kirkwood. In the case of matrix valued potentials the commutator of the Hamiltonian and the quantum observable (for mass, momentum and energy) does not reduce to a Poisson bracket in general, due to the matrix valued symbols not commuting.

The main idea in this work is to show that for a certain diagonalization, based on a non linear eigenvalue problem, this commutator is reduced to a quantization of a Poisson bracket.

To define the energy observable, the work [9], [10] and [8] use that the potential energy can by split into a sum of potential energies related to each particle, as defined from pair potential interactions. In the matrix valued case considered here, the splitting is required for the eigenvalues of the matrix potential, which is not a sum of pair potential interactions. Our splitting is instead obtained using perturbation theory for eigenvalues.

The pair potential property is also used in the work [9], [10] and [8] to reduce forcing terms to divergence of a stress term. Such reduction has been obtained in [1] for general potentials that are invariant with respect to translation and orthogonal transformations by changing to the coordinates depending on all pair distances. This change to the pair distance coordinates is also used here.

Having a quantum model for the conservation laws in continuum mechanics makes it possible also to analyze how certain quantum aspects influence the continuum model. Here we consider the issue of initial data: from the quantum perspective all known data should be in terms of measured observables. Therefore we consider the initial data as canonical ensemble averages conditioned on the quantum observable values for the density, momentum and energy being localized in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to given density, momentum and energy functions $(\rho, \rho u, E) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. The localization includes a parameter measuring how certain we are that precisely these functions are the initial data. In practice the conservation law model in continuum mechanics comes additionally with both constitutive laws for the stress tensor and the heat flux and with a probability distribution of the initial data, as in the mathematical activity on measure valued solutions, cf. [5], and uncertainty quantification for partial differential equations. This initial probability distribution is here determined by fundamental principles, up to setting the parameter for localization. To precisely know the initial continuum density, momentum and energy functions is a singular event that may not be well posed in our model (which corresponds to the parameter value zero): although the limit as the localization parameter tends to zero exists, different paths to reach a limit might give different answers. For a closed conservation law model this possible singularity of precisely known initial data might imply that the stress tensor and the heat flux could vary with the ways to take the limit. It might also be possible that the solution to the continuum conservation laws are not uniquely determined by the initial data, as for instance is shown for the isentropic compressible Euler equations in two space dimensions even if entropy conditions are included, see [3]. On the other hand the conservation laws for the quantum observables, with localized initial data and some given uncertainty, are well posed since the time evolution is based on the linear well posed Schrödinger equation. Having a quantum model for the stress tensor and the heat flux does not mean that these can be expressed as constitutive relations, namely as functions of the conservation variables and their derivatives, but if the constitutive relations would exist then these quantum observables are useful to approximately determine the constitutive relations from molecular dynamics simulations.

The content of the work is as follows. Section 2 formulates the conservation laws. Sections 3 and 4 derive the conservation laws from classical and quantum dynamics, respectively, following the work [9], [16],[8] and [10]. These derivations are then used in the derivation of the matrix valued extension of the quantum dynamics in Section 5, which contains Theorem 5.1 for the classical limit of the quantum observables in the conservation laws. Section 6 presents a setting determining the initial density operator and Section 7 describes an issue on the non uniqueness of the stress tensor, following [1].

2. The conservation laws

The conservation laws for mass, momentum and energy, based on the density $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$, velocity $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and energy density $E : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \rho(y,t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(\rho(y,t)u_\ell(y,t)\big) &= 0\,,\\
\partial_t\big(\rho(y,t)u_j(y,t)\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(\rho(y,t)u_j(y,t)u_\ell(y,t) - \sigma_{\ell j}(y,t)\big) &= 0\,,\\
\partial_t E(y,t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(E(y,t)u_\ell(y,t) + q_\ell(y,t) - \sum_j \sigma_{\ell j}(y,t)u_j(y,t)\big) &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\sigma_{\ell j} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the ℓj-component of the 3×3 stress tensor and $q_\ell : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the ℓ-th component of the heat flux. The purpose of this work is to derive these conservation laws from microscopic dynamical systems. First we consider classical systems and then quantum systems.

3. The conservation laws derived from classical particle dynamics

In this section we consider a particle system with position coordinates $x : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ and momentum coordinates $p : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ that satisfy the classical dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_t^k &= \frac{p_t^k}{M_k}\,,\\
\dot{p}_t &= -\nabla\lambda(x_t)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the notation $x = (x^1, x^2, x^3, \ldots, x^N)$ and $p = (p^1, p^2, p^3, \ldots, p^N)$, where $x^k(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $p^k(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the position and momentum, respectively, for particle k at time t, and M_k is the mass of particle k. The given potential $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be invariant with respect to translation and orthogonal transformations in $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e. $\lambda(x^1, \ldots, x^N) = \lambda(Qx^1 + \alpha, \ldots, Qx^N + \alpha)$ for any orthogonal 3×3 matrix Q and any translation $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The following theorem will be used to represent the potential λ as a function of pairwise distances between particles rather than the positions of each particle:

Theorem 3.1. *If the two sets of points $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{y^i\}_{i=1}^N$, where $x^i, y^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, satisfy $r^{ij} = |x^i - x^j| = |y^i - y^j|$ for $1 \le i, j \le N$, then there exist an orthogonal matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ and a translation vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $x^i = Qy^i + \alpha$ for $1 \le i \le N$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{x}^i := x^i - x^1$, $\bar{y}^i = y^i - y^1$, for $1 \le i \le N$. If $\bar{x}^i = \bar{y}^i = 0$, for all $0 \le i \le N$, then clearly the claim in the theorem is true. If not, let i_1 be an index such that $\bar{x}^{i_1} \neq 0$ (which also implies that $\bar{y}^{i_1} \neq 0$). Let $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ be two orthogonal matrices such that $Q_1\bar{x}^{i_1}$ and $Q_2\bar{y}^{i_1}$ both lie on the first positive coordinate axis. Then clearly $Q_1\bar{x}^{i_1} = Q_2\bar{y}^{i_1}$.

Define $\bar{\bar{x}}^i := Q_1\bar{x}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^i := Q_2\bar{y}^i$ for all $1 \le i \le N$. If all $\bar{\bar{x}}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^i$ lie on the first coordinate axis then $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = \bar{\bar{y}}^i$, for $1 \le i \le N$, since every $\bar{\bar{x}}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^i$ have the same distance to $\bar{\bar{x}}^1$ in the origin, and $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_1}$. Assume now that there exists an index i_2 such that $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_2}$ does not lie on the first coordinate axis. Since $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_2}$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^{i_2}$ have the same distance to $\bar{\bar{x}}^1$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_1}$, also $\bar{\bar{y}}^{i_2}$ does not lie on the first coordinate axis. Let $Q_3, Q_4 \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ be two orthogonal matrices that are rotations around the first coordinate axis such that $Q_3\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_2}$ and $Q_4\bar{\bar{y}}^{i_2}$ are both in the "positive xy-plane", i.e. given as $(a, b, 0)$ for $b > 0$. This makes $Q_3\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_2} = Q_4\bar{\bar{y}}^{i_2}$ since the points are on the same distance to $\bar{\bar{x}}^1$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_1}$.

Define $\bar{\bar{x}}^i := Q_3 \bar{x}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^i := Q_4 \bar{y}^i$ for all $1 \le i \le N$. Since the points $\bar{\bar{x}}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^i$ have the same distance to the points $\bar{\bar{x}}^1$, $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_1}$, and $\bar{\bar{x}}^{i_2}$, that all lie in the plane spanned by the first two coordinate directions, but not all of them on a straight line, we must either have that $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = \bar{\bar{y}}^i$ or $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = Q\bar{\bar{y}}^i$, for the reflection in the xy-plane $Q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. There can not be two points $\bar{\bar{x}}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}^j$ that do not lie in the xy-plane and satisfy $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = \bar{\bar{y}}^i$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}^j = Q\bar{\bar{y}}^j$, since then $\bar{\bar{x}}^j$ and $\bar{\bar{y}}^j$ would be on different distance from $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = \bar{\bar{y}}^i$.

Hence either $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = \bar{\bar{y}}^i$ for all $1 \le i \le N$, or $\bar{\bar{x}}^i = Q\bar{\bar{y}}^i$ for all $1 \le i \le N$. Since $\bar{\bar{x}}^i$ are obtained from x^i by the same set of translations and multiplications by orhogonal matrices for all $1 \le i \le N$, and likewise for $\bar{\bar{y}}^i$, the proof is complete.

□

To handle conservation of total momentum we will use Newtons third law for pair interactions and we follow the construction in [1] to determine pair interactions in a general potential that is invariant with respect to translations and orthogonal transformations in $\mathbb{R}^3$: knowing all $N(N-1)/2$ pair distances $r := (r^{12}, r^{13}, \dots, r^{N-1N}) := (|x^1 - x^2|, |x^1 - x^3|, \dots, |x^{N-1} - x^N|)$ determines x up to a translation and orthogonal transformation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and since $\lambda(x)$ remains the same for such translations and orthogonal transformations the potential is determined by all pair distances, i.e.

$$\lambda(x) =: \tilde{\lambda}(r(x)). \tag{3.1}$$

We will use the partial derivatives $\partial_{r^{jk}} \tilde{\lambda}(r^{12}, r^{13}, \dots, r^{N-1N})$. Not all $r \in \mathbb{R}^{N(N-1)/2}$ correspond to particle positions $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ and there are $N(N-1)/2$ partial derivatives $\partial_{r^{jk}} \tilde{\lambda}(r)$ while the gradient $\nabla\lambda(x)$ only has $3N$ components. Therefore the partial derivatives $\partial_{r^{jk}} \tilde{\lambda}(r)$ are not uniquely defined by $\nabla\lambda(x)$. Section 7 shows how to determine $\partial_{r^{jk}} \tilde{\lambda}(r)$.

To define the observables for density, momentum and energy and their dependence on the space coordinate $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we use a non negative smooth symmetric mollifier $\eta : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, with compact support, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta(y) \mathrm{d}y &= 1\,, \\ \eta(y) &\ge 0\,, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \eta(y) &= \eta(-y)\,, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \eta(y) &= 0\,, \quad \text{for } |y| > \epsilon\,, \\ \eta &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\,. \end{aligned}$$

The macroscopic density $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the particle system as

$$\rho(y,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n M_n \eta(y - x_t^n) f(x_0, p_0) \mathrm{d}x_0 \mathrm{d}p_0\,,$$

where x_t^n is a function of (x_0, p_0), and $f : \mathbb{R}^{6N} \to [0, \infty)$ is a given initial particle distribution, described in Section 6. Irving and Kirkwood [9] use this definition with η equal to a point mass and a general initial distribution f. Noll [16] formulates the integration with respect to point masses in terms of the one-point and two-point density correlations functions instead and provides precise conditions for the validity of the derivation. Hardy [8] uses the mollifier η but not the integration over the initial particle distribution.

3.1. **The conservation of mass.** Let $(x_0, p_0) = z_0$ denote the phase-space coordinate in $\mathbb{R}^{6N}$. Differentiation of the density implies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho(y,t) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} M_n \dot{x}_t^n \cdot \nabla\eta(y - x_t^n) f(z_0) \mathrm{d}z_0 \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_t^n \cdot \nabla\eta(y - x_t^n) f(z_0) \mathrm{d}z_0\end{aligned}$$

and by defining the velocity $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\rho(y,t)u(y,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^n f(z_0) \mathrm{d}z_0 \tag{3.2}$$

we obtain the conservation law for the mass

$$\partial_t \rho(y,t) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \partial_{y_k}\big(\rho(y,t)u_k(y,t)\big) = 0\,.$$

3.2. **The conservation of momentum.** Differentiation of the momentum yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\big(\rho(y,t)u(y,t)\big) = &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} M_n^{-1} p_t^n \cdot \nabla\eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^n f(z_0) \mathrm{d}z_0 \\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \eta(y - x_t^n) \nabla_{x^n}\lambda(x_t) f(z_0) \mathrm{d}z_0\,.\end{aligned}$$

In order to write the second term as a divergence term we follow Noll's [16] and Hardy's method [8] based on identifying gradients with respect to pair distances and converting the difference in η at the the corresponding point to a gradient term: the combination of the pair distance derivative, using the definition of $\tilde{\lambda}$ in (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n \eta(y - x^n)\nabla_{x^n}\lambda &= \sum_n \sum_{j<k} \eta(y - x^n)\partial_{r^{jk}}\tilde{\lambda}\nabla_{x^n}|x^j - x^k| \\ &= \sum_{n<k} \big(\eta(y - x^n) - \eta(y - x^k)\big)\partial_{r^{nk}}\tilde{\lambda}\nabla_{x^n}|x^n - x^k|\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and the difference at the corresponding points

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(y - x^n) - \eta(y - x^k) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta\big(y - sx^n - (1-s)x^k\big)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^1 (x^k - x^n)\cdot\nabla\eta\big(y - sx^n - (1-s)x^k\big)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= -\mathrm{div}_y \int_0^1 \eta\big(y - sx^n - (1-s)x^k\big)(x^n - x^k)\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n \eta(y - x^n)\nabla_{x^n}\lambda = -\mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_{n<k}\int_0^1 \eta(y - sx^n - (1-s)x^k)(x^n - x^k)\mathrm{d}s\Big) \\ \times \partial_{r^{nk}}\tilde{\lambda}\nabla_{x^n}|x^n - x^k|\,.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the following conservation law for the momentum holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\big(\rho(y,t)u_j(y,t)\big) = &-\sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\partial_{y_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n^{-1}\eta(y-x_t^n)p_j^n(t)p_\ell^n(t)f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\\
&+\sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\partial_{y_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n<k}\int_0^1\eta(y-sx_t^n-(1-s)x_t^k)(x_\ell^n(t)-x_\ell^k(t))\mathrm{d}s\\
&\times\partial_{r^{nk}}\tilde{\lambda}\partial_{x_j^n}|x_t^n-x_t^k|f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

To write the conservation law for momentum in the form (2.1) we follow the steps in [16]. Let

$$
v_t^n := \frac{p_t^n}{M_n} - u(y,t)
$$

where by (3.2)

$$
u(y,t) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\eta(y-x_t^n)p_t^n f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n\eta(y-x_t^n)f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0}\,.
$$

Definition (3.2) implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n\eta(y-x_t^n)v_t^n f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0 = 0
$$

so that the first integral in (3.5) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n^{-1}\eta(y-x_t^n)p_j^n(t)p_\ell^n(t)f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n\eta(y-x_t^n)\big(v_j^n(t)v_\ell^n(t)+u_j(t)v_\ell^n(t)+v_j^n(t)u_\ell(t)+u_j(t)u_\ell(t)\big)f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n\eta(y-x_t^n)\big(v_j^n(t)v_\ell^n(t)+u_j(t)u_\ell(t)\big)f(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

The conservation law for the momentum can therefore be formulated as

$$
\partial_t\big(\rho(y,t)u_j(y,t)\big)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\partial_{y_\ell}\big(\rho(y,t)u_j(y,t)u_\ell(y,t)-\sigma_{\ell j}(y,t)\big)=0\,,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\ell j}(y,t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\ell j}(x_t,p_t;y,t)f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0\,,\\
\tilde{\sigma}_{\ell j}(x,p;y,t) &:= -\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n\eta(y-x^n)v_j^n v_\ell^n\\
&\quad+\sum_{n<k}\int_0^1\eta(y-sx^n-(1-s)x^k)(x_\ell^n-x_\ell^k)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad\times\partial_{r^{nk}}\tilde{\lambda}\partial_{x_j^n}|x^n-x^k|\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

defines the stress tensor using $v^n = M_n^{-1}p^n - u(y,t)$.

3.3. **The conservation of energy.** In order to define the energy density we need to define the potential energy related to each particle. In the case of pair potentials this is straight forward by summing the pair potentials including the particle, as in [9], [16] and [8]. Since we have a more general potential, which does not have to be a sum of pair potentials, this step requires a new assumption. We study potentials λ that are eigenvalues of the potential V, formed by the electron kinetic energy, electron-electron repulsion, nuclei-nuclei repulsion and electron-nuclei attraction, see [2, 13, 14],

$$\begin{aligned} V(x,\bar{x}) &:= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\bar{x}} + \nu(x,\bar{x}) \\ \nu(x,\bar{x}) &:= \sum_{k<\ell} \frac{1}{|\bar{x}^k - \bar{x}^\ell|} + \sum_{n<m} \frac{Z_n Z_m}{|x^n - x^m|} - \sum_{k,n} \frac{Z_n}{|x^n - \bar{x}^k|}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Here Z_n is the charge of the nuclei and $\bar{x}^k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the coordinate of electron k. This potential can be split into the parts related to nuclei particle n and its corresponding set of electrons $\mathcal{E}_n$:

$$V^n(x,\bar{x}) := -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}_n} \Delta_{\bar{x}^k} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\ell\in\mathcal{E}_n}\sum_{k\neq\ell} \frac{1}{|\bar{x}^k - \bar{x}^\ell|} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\neq n} \frac{Z_n Z_m}{|x^n - x^m|} - \sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}_n} \frac{Z_n}{|x^n - \bar{x}^k|}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The definition implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} V^n = V\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Assume that the Hermitian $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ operator $V(x,\cdot)$ has d eigenvalues $\lambda_1(x),\ldots,\lambda_d(x)$ and normalized eigenfunctions $\Psi_1(x),\ldots,\Psi_d(x)$, belonging to the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ of electron wave functions, see [2, 14], defined by

$$V(x)\Psi_k(x) = \lambda_k(x)\Psi_k(x)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

We typically have $d = \infty$ and we note that V is invariant with respect to translations and orthogonal transformations in $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e.

$$V(x,\bar{x}) = V(Qx_1 + \alpha,\ldots,Qx_N + \alpha, Q\bar{x}_1 + \alpha,\ldots,Q\bar{x}_J + \alpha)$$

for any translation $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and orthogonal transformation Q in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore also the eigenvalues λ_k and eigenfunctions are invariant with respect to such translations and orthogonal transformations. The energy related to particle n for eigenvalue k can be viewed as the difference of λ_k and eigenvalue k for the perturbed potential $V - V^n$, which corresponds to removing particle n and its electrons. This perturbed eigenvalue is to leading order

$$\Big(\Psi_k(x), \big(V(x) - V^n(x)\big)\Psi_k(x)\Big)$$

and we define

$$\lambda_k^n(x) := \Big(\Psi_k(x), V^n(x)\Psi_k(x)\Big)\,,$$

using the $L^2(\mathrm{d}\bar{x})$ inner product $(v,w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3J}} v^*(\bar{x})w(\bar{x})\mathrm{d}\bar{x}$. The property (3.9) implies that for $k = 1,\ldots,d$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_k^n = \lambda_k\,.$$

We will below consider only one energy, and therefore drop the index k, so $\lambda := \lambda_k$.

Define the energy density $E : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E(y,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n \eta(y - x_t^n)\big(\frac{|p_t^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x_t)\big) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0$$

and differentiate it to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t E_t(y,t) = &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n M_n^{-1} p_t^n \cdot \nabla\eta(y - x_t^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x_t)\big) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0 \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n M_n^{-1}\eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^n \cdot \nabla_{x^n}\lambda(x_t) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n,m} M_m^{-1}\eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^m \cdot \nabla_{x^m}\lambda^n(x_t) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n M_n^{-1}\eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^n \cdot \nabla_{x^n}\lambda(x_t) &= \sum_{n,m} M_n^{-1}\eta(y - x_t^n) p_t^n \cdot \nabla_{x^n}\lambda^m(x_t) \\ &= \sum_{n,m} M_m^{-1}\eta(y - x_t^m) p_t^m \cdot \nabla_{x^m}\lambda^n(x_t)\,,\end{aligned}$$

so the right hand side in (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t E(y,t) = &- \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \partial_{y_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n M_n^{-1} p_\ell^n(t)\eta(y - x_t^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x_t)\big) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n,m} \big(\eta(y - x_t^n) - \eta(y - x_t^m)\big)(M_m^{-1} p_t^m)\cdot\nabla_{x^m}\lambda^n(x_t) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0\,.\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.4) we obtain the conservation law for the energy

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t E(y,t) \\ &= - \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \partial_{y_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_n M_n^{-1} p_\ell^n(t)\eta(y - x_t^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x_t)\big) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \partial_{y_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \sum_{n,m} \int_0^1 \eta\big(y - x_t^m + s(x_t^m - x_t^n)\big)\mathrm{d}s\big(x_\ell^n(t) - x_\ell^m(t)\big) \\ &\quad \times (M_m^{-1} p_t^m)\cdot\nabla_{x^m}\lambda^n(x_t) f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In order to write the energy conservation in the standard form (2.1) we use

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^N \eta(y - x^n)(u_\ell + v_\ell^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x)\big) = &\sum_{n=1}^N \eta(y - x^n) u_\ell \big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2M_n} + \lambda^n(x)\big) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N \eta(y - x^n) v_\ell^n \big(\frac{M_n|v^n|^2}{2} + \lambda^n(x)\big) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N \eta(y - x^n) M_n v_\ell^n \frac{|u|^2}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^N \eta(y - x^n) M_n v_\ell^n u\cdot v^n\,.\end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side becomes $E(y,t)u_\ell(y,t)$ under the integration in (3.12), and the last term is part of $\sum_j \sigma_{\ell j}(y,t)u_j(y,t)$. The term including the factor $v_\ell^n|u|^2$ vanishes upon integration over the initial distribution due to the definition (3.2) and the second term is included in the heat flux. The conservation of energy can therefore be written

$$\partial_t E(y,t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(E(y,t)u_\ell(y,t) + q_\ell(y,t) - \sum_j \sigma_{\ell j}(y,t)u_j(y,t)\big) = 0$$

where the heat flux is defined as

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} q_\ell(y,t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \tilde{q}_\ell(x_t,p_t;y,t)f(x_0,p_0)\mathrm{d}x_0\mathrm{d}p_0\,,\\ \tilde{q}_\ell(x,p;y,t) &:= \sum_n v_\ell^n \eta(y-x^n)\big(\frac{M_n|v^n|^2}{2} + \lambda^n(x)\big)\\ &\quad + \sum_{n,m}\int_0^1 \eta\big(y - x^m + s(x^m-x^n)\big)\mathrm{d}s(x_\ell^n - x_\ell^m)\times\\ &\quad \times\Big((M_m^{-1}p^m)\cdot\nabla_{x^m}\lambda^n(x) - \sum_j u_j(y,t)\partial_{r^{nm}}\lambda\big(x(r^{12},\ldots,r^{N-1N})\big)\partial_{x_j^n}|x^n-x^m|\Big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

4. The conservation laws derived from quantum mechanics

Irving and Zwanzig [10] derived the conservation laws when the particle system is modeled by the Schrödinger equation for a wave function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{3(N+J)} \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$i\partial_t\Phi(\tilde{x},t) = \hat{H}_0\Phi(\tilde{x},t)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0 = -\sum_{n=1}^{N+J}\frac{1}{2M_n}\Delta_{\tilde{x}^n} + \nu(\tilde{x})\,,$$

based on the particle masses M_n, e.g. as defined in (3.7). The wave function Φ is in an appropriate subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N+J)})$ taking anti-symmetry of electron coordinates into account. Irving and Zwanzig used the Wigner function to define the quantum observables. We use instead the related Weyl quantizations, which are $L^2(\mathrm{d}\tilde{x})$ operators $\hat{A}$ defined from symbols $A : \mathbb{R}^{6(N+J)} \to \mathbb{C}$ in the Schwartz functions space by

$$\hat{A}\phi(\tilde{x}) = \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\big)^{3(N+J)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6(N+J)}} e^{i(\tilde{x}-\tilde{x}')\cdot\tilde{p}}A\big(\frac{\tilde{x}+\tilde{x}'}{2},\tilde{p}\big)\phi(\tilde{x}')\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}'\mathrm{d}\tilde{p}\,,$$

for any $\phi \in L^2(\mathrm{d}\tilde{x})$. For instance, quantization of the Hamiltonian symbol

$$H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N+J}\frac{|\tilde{p}_n|^2}{2M_n} + \nu(\tilde{x})$$

yields the operator $\hat{H}_0$.

The Schrödinger equation implies the evolution $\Phi(\cdot,t) = e^{-it\hat{H}_0}\Phi(\cdot,0)$ and consequently an observable at time t defined by $\langle\Phi(\cdot,t),\hat{A}\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle$ satisfies

$$\langle\Phi(\cdot,t),\hat{A}\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle = \langle\Phi(\cdot,0),e^{it\hat{H}_0}\hat{A}e^{-it\hat{H}_0}\Phi(\cdot,0)\rangle$$

using the $L^2(\mathrm{d}\tilde{x})$ scalar product $\langle v, w\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N+J)}} v^*(\tilde{x})w(\tilde{x})\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}$. By defining the evolution of observables as

$$\hat{A}_t := e^{it\hat{H}_0}\hat{A}e^{-it\hat{H}_0}$$

differentiation implies the Heisenberg-von Neumann equation

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_t = i[\hat{H}_0, \hat{A}_t]\,,$$

where $[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{B}$ is the commutator. We also obtain

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_t = ie^{it\hat{H}_0}[\hat{H}_0, \hat{A}]e^{-it\hat{H}_0} \tag{4.2}$$

and $\hat{A}_0 = \hat{A}$. Let $\hat{f}$ be a density operator, i.e. a positive, self-adjoint and compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N+J)})$. Section 6 presents a precise definition of the density operator related to the given initial data of the macroscopic density, momentum and energy in the canonical ensemble. The Irving and Zwanzig quantum density observable is then defined by

$$\rho(y,t) = \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\rho}_t\hat{f})$$

where the density observable is the quantization of the density symbol

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \big(\sum_n M_n\eta(y-\tilde{x}^n)\big)\widehat{\ }.$$

The quantum momentum and energy observables are analogously defined as

$$\hat{p}_0 = \big(\sum_n \eta(y-\tilde{x}^n)\tilde{p}^n\big)\widehat{\ },$$

$$\hat{E}_0 = \Big(\sum_n \eta(y-\tilde{x}^n)\big(\frac{|\tilde{p}^n|^2}{2M_n} + \nu^n(\tilde{x})\big)\Big)\widehat{\ },$$

where $\nu = \sum_n \nu^n$ is a partition with the potential energy related to each particle, analogous to (3.9). Irving and Zwanzig observed the following crucial property, proved at the end of the section.

Lemma 4.1. *For any twice differentiable scalar symbol that is a polynomial of degree two in the momentum variable*

$$\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p}) := a_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N+J} a_n(\tilde{x})\cdot\tilde{p}^n + \sum_{m,n=1}^{N+J} a_{n,m}(\tilde{x})\tilde{p}^n\cdot\tilde{p}^m \in \mathbb{C}$$

there holds

$$\begin{aligned} i[\hat{H}_0, \hat{\breve{A}}(\xi,\nu)] &= \big(\nabla_{\tilde{p}}H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p}) - \nabla_{\tilde{x}}H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\big)\widehat{\ } \\ &=: \{H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p}), \breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\}\widehat{\ }, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0(\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{R}, a_n(\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^3, a_{n,m}(\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

That is, for a symbol that is a polynomial of degree at most two in the momentum variable the quantum evolution of the observable reduces to the classical evolution based on the Poisson bracket. The quantum observables for density, momentum and energy are based precisely on symbols which are degree zero, one and two, respectively, in the momentum variable. Irving and Zwanzig therefore conclude that the quantum observables satisfy analogous conservation laws as those for classical particle dynamics, namely:

differentiation of the density and using (4.2), Lemma 4.1, and the definition of $\hat{p}$ imply the conservation of mass

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\rho(y,t) &= \mathrm{trace}\big(\partial_t\hat{\rho}_t\hat{f}\big)\\ &= \mathrm{trace}\big(e^{it\hat{H}_0}i[\hat{H}_0,\hat{\rho}_0]e^{-it\hat{H}_0}\hat{f}\big)\\ &= \mathrm{trace}\big(e^{it\hat{H}_0}\{H_0,\rho_0\}\widehat{\ }e^{-it\hat{H}_0}\hat{f}\big)\\ &= -\mathrm{trace}\big(e^{it\hat{H}_0}\mathrm{div}_y\hat{p}_0e^{-it\hat{H}_0}\hat{f}\big)\\ &= -\mathrm{div}\Big(\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{p}_t\hat{f}\big)\Big)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and similarly differentiation of the momentum and energy establish the conservation laws for the momentum

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{p}_t\hat{f}\big) = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\partial_{y_\ell}\mathrm{trace}\Big(\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{N}M_n^{-1}\eta(y-\tilde{x}^n)\tilde{p}^n\tilde{p}^n_\ell\\ +\sum_n\sum_m\int_0^1\eta\big(y-s\tilde{x}^n-(1-s)\tilde{x}^m\big)(\tilde{x}^n_\ell-\tilde{x}^m_\ell)\mathrm{d}s\\ \times\partial_{r^{nm}}\nu\big(\tilde{x}(r^{12},\dots,r^{N+J-1N+J})\big)\nabla_{\tilde{x}^n}|\tilde{x}^n-\tilde{x}^m|\Big)\widehat{\ }_t\hat{f}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and the energy

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{E}_t\hat{f}\big) = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\partial_{y_\ell}\mathrm{trace}\Big(\Big(\sum_n M_n^{-1}\tilde{p}^n_\ell\eta(y-\tilde{x}^n)\big(\frac{|\tilde{p}^n|^2}{2M_n}+\nu^n(\tilde{x})\big)\\ +\sum_{n,m}\int_0^1\eta\big(y-\tilde{x}^m+s(\tilde{x}^m-\tilde{x}^n)\big)\mathrm{d}s(\tilde{x}^n_\ell-\tilde{x}^m_\ell)(M_m^{-1}\tilde{p}^m)\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{x}^m}\nu^n(\tilde{x})\Big)\widehat{\ }_t\hat{f}\Big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We have the composition rule $\hat{A}\hat{B}=\hat{C}$ where

$$C = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\nabla_{\tilde{x}'}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}-\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}'})}A(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})B(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')\Big|_{\substack{\tilde{x}=\tilde{x}'\\ \tilde{p}=\tilde{p}'}} =: A\#B\,, \tag{4.6}$$

see [19]. Therefore

$$[\hat{H}_0,\hat{\breve{A}}] = (H_0\#\breve{A})\widehat{\ } - (\breve{A}\#H_0)\widehat{\ }$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}'}-\nabla_{\tilde{x}'}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}})\big(H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')-\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})H_0(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')\big)\\ &=\nabla\nu(\tilde{x})\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')-M^{-1}\tilde{p}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')\\ &\quad-\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\cdot M^{-1}\tilde{p}'+\nabla\nu(\tilde{x}')\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\\ &=\nabla\nu(\tilde{x})\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')+\nabla\nu(\tilde{x}')\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\\ &\quad-M^{-1}\tilde{p}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')-M^{-1}\tilde{p}'\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})=:I(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}',\tilde{p},\tilde{p}')\,.\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation at the point $(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')=(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})$ yields

$$\frac{i}{2}(\nabla_{\tilde{x}'}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}}-\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}'})\big(H_0(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')-\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})H_0(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')\big)\Big|_{\substack{\tilde{x}=\tilde{x}'\\ \tilde{p}=\tilde{p}'}} = \{H_0,\breve{A}\}\,.$$

The differentiation to second order becomes

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}'}-\nabla_{\tilde{x}'}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}})I(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}',\tilde{p},\tilde{p}')=\sum_{m,n}\partial_{\tilde{x}_m}\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}\nu(\tilde{x})\partial_{\tilde{p}^m}\partial_{\tilde{p}^n}\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}')$$
$$-\sum_{m,n}\partial_{\tilde{x}_m}\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}\nu(\tilde{x}')\partial_{\tilde{p}^m}\partial_{\tilde{p}^n}\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})$$
$$-\sum_{n}M_n^{-1}\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}^2\breve{A}(\tilde{x},\tilde{p})$$
$$+\sum_{n}M_n^{-1}\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}^2\breve{A}(\tilde{x}',\tilde{p}'):=II(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}',\tilde{p},\tilde{p}')\,,$$

so that $II(\tilde{x},\tilde{x},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})=0$.

Differentiation to third order implies

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{x}}\cdot\nabla_{p'}-\nabla_{\tilde{x}'}\cdot\nabla_{\tilde{p}})II(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}',\tilde{p},\tilde{p}')=0$$

which together with Taylor expansion of the exponential proves the lemma. □

5. The classical limit of the quantum conservation laws

The aim here is to consider the quantum evolution where the electron part is considered operator valued and the Weyl quantization is only in the nuclei part. For simplicity all nuclei masses are assumed to be equal, $M_n=M$. We consider the case $M\gg 1$. We also change the time scale so that the nuclei dynamics has a limit, when $M\to\infty$, as follows: the wave function in (4.1) can be written as $\Phi:\mathbb{R}^{3N}\times[0,\infty)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ and it solves the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{i}{M^{1/2}}\partial_\tau\Phi(x,\tau)=\hat{H}\Phi(x,\tau)\,,$$

with the change of the time scale $\tau=M^{-1/2}t$. The classical limit obtained as $M\to\infty$ is well behaved in this time scale, in the sense that the nuclei move a distance of order one in time one, as we shall see in Theorem 5.1. The Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}=-\frac{1}{2M}\Delta_x+V(x)$$

with the Hermitian $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ operator $V(x)$, as in (3.7). The corresponding Heisenberg - von Neumann equation takes the form

$$\partial_\tau\hat{A}_\tau=iM^{1/2}[\hat{H},\hat{A}_\tau]$$

where the symbol $A_\tau(x,p)$ maps $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$. This form of operator valued symbols are suitable for studying the $M\to\infty$ limit of the observables we have in the conservation laws, since the corresponding Weyl quantizations are based on highly oscillatory Fourier integral operators that only use the nuclei coordinates. We simplify the setting by considering discretized versions of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3J})$ so that instead $V(x)$ is a Hermitian matrix that maps $\mathbb{C}^d$ to $\mathbb{C}^d$ for a finite d. We also assume that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1(x),\lambda_2(x),\ldots,\lambda_d(x)$ defined by (3.10) satisfy

$$\lambda_1(x)<\lambda_2(x)<\ldots<\lambda_d(x)\,,$$
$$\lambda_1(x)\to\infty\text{ as }|x|\to\infty\,.$$

The first assumption is in order to have differentiable eigenvectors and the second condition implies that the spectrum of $\hat{H}$ is discrete, see [4]. The Weyl quantization takes the form

$$\hat{A}\phi(x)=(\frac{M^{1/2}}{2\pi})^{3N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}e^{iM^{1/2}(x-y)\cdot p}A(\frac{x+y}{2},p)\mathrm{d}p\,\phi(y)\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

The main tool to determine the classical limit is to diagonalize H and the observables A_τ in the conservation laws. Assume now that $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \to \mathbb{C}^{d^2}$ and $\Psi(x)$ is any unitary matrix with the Hermitian transpose $\Psi^*(x)$ and define $\hat{\bar{A}} : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \to \mathbb{C}^{d^2}$ by

$$\hat{A}_\tau = \hat{\Psi}(x)\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*(x)$$

so that

$$\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau = \hat{\Psi}^*(x)\hat{A}_\tau\hat{\Psi}(x)\,.$$

Then

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{A}_\tau] = \hat{\Psi}[\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{H}\hat{\Psi}, \hat{\bar{A}}_\tau]\hat{\Psi}^*$$

and consequently

$$\partial_\tau\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau = iM^{1/2}[\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{H}\hat{\Psi}, \hat{\bar{A}}_\tau]\,.$$

The composition rule (4.6) implies $\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{H}\hat{\Psi} = (\Psi^*\#H\#\Psi)\widehat{\ }$. The next step is to determine Ψ so that

$$\bar{H} := \Psi^*\#H\#\Psi$$

is diagonal. Having $\bar{H}$ diagonal implies that $\hat{\bar{H}}$ is diagonal and then $\hat{\bar{A}}$ remains diagonal if it initially is diagonal, since then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\hat{\bar{A}}_{jk}(\tau) = iM^{1/2}\big(\hat{\bar{H}}_{jj}\hat{\bar{A}}_{jk}(\tau) - \hat{\bar{A}}_{jk}(\tau)\hat{\bar{H}}_{kk}\big) = 0\,, \qquad \text{for } j \neq k.$$

The composition rule (4.6) with

$$H(x,p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + V(x)$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{H} &= \Psi^*\#H\#\Psi\\ &= \frac{|p|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + \Psi^*V\Psi + \frac{1}{4M}\nabla\Psi^*\cdot\nabla\Psi\\ &= \Psi^*(\frac{|p|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + V + \frac{1}{4M}\Psi\nabla\Psi^*\cdot\nabla\Psi\Psi^*)\Psi\,,\end{aligned}$$

as verified in [[11], Lemma 3.1]. Therefore the aim is to choose the unitary matrix Ψ so that it is a solution to the non linear eigenvalue problem

$$\big(V + \frac{1}{4M}\Psi\nabla\Psi^*\cdot\nabla\Psi\Psi^*\big)\Psi = \Psi\bar{\Lambda} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is diagonal, that is

$$\bar{H}(x,p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + \bar{\Lambda}(x)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

A solution, Ψ, to this non linear eigenvalue problem is an $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1})$ perturbation of the eigenvectors to $V(x)$ provided the eigenvalues do not cross and M is sufficiently large. The next section shows as in [11] that (5.1) has a unique solution, if also the potential V is real analytic.

We will use the observables defining density, momentum and energy as follows. Let

$$\bar{\rho}_0(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N}\eta(y - x^n)\mathrm{I}$$

and as before its time evolution is determined by the Heisenberg - von Neumann equation

$$\partial_\tau\hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau = iM^{1/2}[\hat{\bar{H}}, \hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau]\,,$$

with the solution

$$\hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau = e^{i\tau M^{1/2}\hat{\bar{H}}}\hat{\bar{\rho}}_0 e^{-i\tau M^{1/2}\hat{\bar{H}}}\,,$$

which shows that the time evolution also can be written as

$$\partial_\tau \hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau = iM^{1/2} e^{i\tau M^{1/2}\hat{\bar{H}}}[\hat{\bar{H}}, \hat{\bar{\rho}}_0] e^{-i\tau M^{1/2}\hat{\bar{H}}}\,. \tag{5.3}$$

The momentum and energy density symbols are defined as

$$\bar{p}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \eta(y - x^n) p^n \mathrm{I}\,,$$

$$\bar{E}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \eta(y - x^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + \bar{\Lambda}^n(x)\big)\,,$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is the diagonal matrix based on the eigenvalues $\bar{\lambda}_k,\ k = 1, \dots, d$, of the matrix

$$V + \frac{1}{4M}\Psi\nabla\Psi^* \cdot \nabla\Psi\Psi^*$$

and $\bar{\Lambda}^n,\ n = 1, \dots, N$, is the partition (3.8).

The diagonal form of $\bar{H}$ combined with the property that the symbols $\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{p}_0$ and $\bar{E}_0$ and $\bar{H}$ are polynomials of degree at most two as functions of the momentum coordinate imply by Lemma 4.1 the reduction of the corresponding quantum commutators to classical Poisson brackets. Therefore, we have as in (4.3)

$$iM^{1/2}[\hat{\bar{H}}, \hat{\bar{\rho}}_0] = -\mathrm{div}_y \hat{\bar{p}}_0\,,$$

and by (5.3)

$$\partial_\tau \hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau = -\mathrm{div}_y \hat{\bar{p}}_\tau\,. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\hat{f}$ be a given density operator in $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})]^d$, as described precisely in Section 6. The conservation law for the mass becomes

$$\partial_\tau \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f}) = -\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{p}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f})\big)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

The conservation of momentum and energy are also based on the reduction from commutators to Poisson brackets, in Lemma 4.1, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau \hat{\bar{p}}_\tau &= -\mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_n \eta(y - x^n) p^n \otimes p^n \mathrm{I}\Big)\widehat{\ }_\tau \\
&\quad - \mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_n \sum_k \int_0^1 \eta\big(y - sx^n - (1-s)x^k\big)(x^n_\ell - x^k_\ell)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad \times \partial_{r^{nk}} \bar{\Lambda}^n\big(x(r^{12}, \dots, r^{N-1N})\big)\nabla_{x^n}|x^n - x^k|\Big)\widehat{\ }_\tau \\
&:= -\mathrm{div}\hat{\bar{S}}_\tau\,, \qquad (5.6) \\
\partial_\tau \hat{\bar{E}}_\tau &= -\mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_n \eta(y - x^n) p^n \big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + \bar{\Lambda}^n(x)\big)\Big)\widehat{\ }_\tau \\
&\quad - \mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_n \int_0^1 \eta\big(y - x^m + s(x^m - x^n)\big)\mathrm{d}s(x^n - x^m)p^m \cdot \nabla_{x^m}\bar{\Lambda}^n\big)\Big)\widehat{\ }_\tau \\
&=: -\mathrm{div}\hat{\bar{Q}}_\tau\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the conservation of mass we obtain the conservation law for the momentum

$$\partial_\tau \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{p}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f}) = -\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{S}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f})\big)\,, \tag{5.7}$$

and the conservation law for the energy

$$\partial_\tau \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{E}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f}) = -\mathrm{div}\big(\mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{Q}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f})\big)\,. \tag{5.8}$$

These traces can be written as

$$\mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f}) = \mathrm{trace}(\hat{A}_\tau\hat{f}) = \mathrm{trace}(\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau\hat{\Psi}^*\hat{f}\hat{\Psi})$$

where $\bar{A}$ is diagonal and equal to $\bar{\rho}, \bar{p}, \bar{E}, \bar{S}$ and $\bar{Q}$, respectively. We will in Section 6 motivate the density operator choice $\hat{f} = \Psi(\bar{\chi}e^{-\bar{H}/T})\hat{}\,\Psi^*$ where T is the temperature, of a surounding heat bath, and $\hat{\chi} = \hat{\Psi}(\bar{\chi}\mathrm{I})\hat{}\,\hat{\Psi}^*$ is an operator that localizes the initial data and has non negative symbol, i.e. $\bar{\chi} : \mathbb{R}^{6N} \to [0,\infty)$. The work [11], based on Weyl's law, proves the following theorem which provides the classical limit of the quantum observables in the conservation laws.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that V is real analytic, $\bar{A}_0$ and $\bar{\chi}$ are diagonal, the $d\times d$ matrix valued Hamiltonian H has distinct eigenvalues, and that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_i \sum_{|\alpha|\le 3} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i}\bar{\lambda}_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \mathcal{O}(1)\,,\\
\sum_{|\alpha|\le 3} \|\partial_z^\alpha \bar{A}_{jj}(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \mathcal{O}(1)\,,\\
\|\bar{\chi}(z)e^{-\bar{H}(z)/T}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})} &= \mathcal{O}(1)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

hold, then the χ-conditioned canonical ensemble average satisfies

(5.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{A}_\tau\hat{\Psi}(\widehat{\bar{\chi}e^{-\bar{H}/T}})\hat{\Psi}^*\big)}{\mathrm{trace}(\hat{\Psi}\widehat{\chi e^{-\bar{H}/T}}\hat{\Psi}^*)} &= \frac{\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{\bar{A}}_\tau(\widehat{\bar{\chi}e^{-\bar{H}/T}})\big)}{\mathrm{trace}(\widehat{e^{-\bar{H}/T}})}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} q_j^*\bar{A}_{jj}\big(0, z_\tau^j(z_0)\big)\bar{\chi}(z_0)\frac{e^{-\bar{H}_{jj}(z_0)/T}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} e^{-\bar{H}_{jj}(z)/T}\mathrm{d}z}\mathrm{d}z_0 + \mathcal{O}(M^{-1})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

as $M\to\infty$, where $z_\tau^j = (x_\tau, p_\tau)$ is the solution to the Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_\tau &= p_\tau\\
\dot{p}_\tau &= -\nabla\bar{\lambda}_j(x_\tau), \quad \tau > 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

based on the Hamiltonian $\bar{H}_{jj}(z) = |p|^2/2 + \bar{\lambda}_j(x)$, with initial data $(x_0,p_0) = z_0$ and the probability, q_j^*, to be in electron state j is

$$q_j^* := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\bar{\chi}(z)e^{-\bar{H}_{jj}(z)/T}\mathrm{d}z}{\sum_{k=1}^d\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}}\bar{\chi}(z)e^{-\bar{H}_{kk}(z')/T}\mathrm{d}z'}\,, \quad j = 1,\dots,d\,. \tag{5.12}$$

In conclusion, the quantum observables (5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) for macroscopic density, momentum and energy, based only on nuclei position and momentum coordinates and the electron eigenvalues, satisfy the conservation laws with well defined observables. These observables have a classical limit, as $M\to\infty$, provided $\bar{\lambda}_j$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ are sufficiently regular. In comparison, the Irving and Zwanzig quantum formulation also solves the conservation laws exactly but the classical limit is not directly available.

The diagonal terms in the flux terms $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{Q}$ are the same as in the classical dynamics (3.5) and (3.12). Therefore Theorem 5.1 applied to the conservation laws (5.4) and (5.6),

for the quantum observables using the splitting $p^n_\tau = v^n_\tau + u(y,\tau)$ for the fluxes $\bar S$ and $\bar Q$, implies that the canonical form of the conservation laws holds:

$$\partial_\tau \rho(y,t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(\rho(y,t)u_\ell(y,\tau)\big) = 0\,,$$

$$\partial_\tau\big(\rho(y,\tau)u_j(y,\tau)\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(\rho(y,\tau)u_j(y,\tau)u_\ell(y,\tau) - \sigma_{\ell j}(y,\tau)\big) = 0\,, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\partial_\tau E(y,\tau) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \partial_{y_\ell}\big(E(y,\tau)u_\ell(y,\tau) + q_\ell(y,\tau) - \sum_j \sigma_{\ell j}(y,\tau)u_j(y,\tau)\big) = 0\,,$$

where

$$f_j(z_0) := \frac{\bar\chi(z_0)e^{-\bar H_{jj}(z_0)/T}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar\chi(z)e^{-\bar H_{jj}(z)/T}\mathrm{d}z}\,,$$

$$\rho(y,\tau) = \sum_j q^*_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar\rho_{jj}(z^j_\tau, y) f_j(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,,$$

$$u(y,\tau) = \sum_j q^*_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar p_{jj}(z^j_\tau, y) f_j(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0/\rho(y,\tau)\,,$$

$$E(y,\tau) = \sum_j q^*_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar E_{jj}(z^j_\tau, y) f_j(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,,$$

$$\sigma(y,\tau) = \sum_j q^*_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar\sigma(z^j_\tau; y,\tau) f_j(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,,$$

$$q(y,\tau) = \sum_j q^*_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar q(z^j_\tau; y,\tau) f_j(z_0)\mathrm{d}z_0\,,$$

and $\bar\sigma(z^j; y,\tau)$ and $\bar q(z^j; y,\tau)$ are defined in (3.6) and (3.13), respectively, now using $\lambda = \bar\lambda_j$. Theorem 5.1 proves in particular that the observables are determined by a weighted average with the probability q^*_j to be in state j. Using this probability model, the conservation laws (5.13) are consistent with the derivation of the conservation laws from the classical dynamics in Section 3.

5.1. **Solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem.** This section presents a version of the standard regular perturbation analysis of matrix eigenvalue problems, cf. [12], which shows that the nonlinear eigenvalue problem (5.1) can be written as a nonlinear system of first order partial differential equations solved by Cauchy-Kovalevsky's theorem.

Define for small $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $\breve V(\epsilon) := \breve V + \epsilon B$ where $\breve V$ and B are real symmetric $d \times d$ matrices, depending on a parameter $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and on another parameter $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume also the matrices $\breve V$ and B are m times continuously differentiable as a function of x. Differentiate, with respect to ϵ, the eigenvalue problem

$$\breve V(\epsilon)\psi_k(\epsilon) = \lambda_k(\epsilon)\psi_k(\epsilon)\,,$$

with the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the corresponding normalized real valued eigenvectors $\psi_k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k = 1, \dots d$, to obtain

$$\big(\breve V(\epsilon) - \lambda_k(\epsilon)\big)\psi'_k(\epsilon) = -\big(\breve V'(\epsilon) - \lambda'_k(\epsilon)\big)\psi_k(\epsilon)\,.$$

The scalar product with $\psi_\ell(\epsilon)$, where $\ell \neq k$, implies the bounded derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\ell^* \psi_k'(\epsilon) &= \frac{\psi_\ell^*(\epsilon) B \psi_k(\epsilon)}{\lambda_\ell(\epsilon) - \lambda_k(\epsilon)} \quad \ell \neq k\,, \\ \lambda_k'(\epsilon) &= \psi_k(\epsilon)^* B \psi_k(\epsilon)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

The remaining component in the $\psi_k(\epsilon)$ direction becomes zero by the normalization

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}\big(\psi_k(\epsilon)^*\psi_k(\epsilon)\big) = 2\mathrm{Re}\Big(\big(\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_k(\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}\big)^*\psi_k(\epsilon)\Big) = 2\big(\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_k(\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}\big)^*\psi_k(\epsilon)\,. \tag{5.15}$$

In particular, the non linear eigenvalue problem (5.1) has $B(x) = \Psi(x)\nabla\Psi^*(x)\cdot\nabla\Psi(x)\Psi^*(x)$ so that it can be written as the system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\ell^*(x,\epsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\psi_k(x,\epsilon) &= \frac{\big(\nabla\Psi^*(x,\epsilon)\cdot\nabla\Psi(x,\epsilon)\big)_{\ell k}}{\lambda_\ell(x,\epsilon) - \lambda_k(x,\epsilon)}\,, \quad \ell \neq k\,, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4M}\,, \\ \psi_k^*(x,\epsilon)\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\psi_k(x,\epsilon) &= 0\,, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\lambda_k(x,\epsilon) &= \big(\nabla\Psi^*(x,\epsilon)\cdot\nabla\Psi(x,\epsilon)\big)_{kk}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

with the initial data

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x,0) &= \bar\Psi(x)\,, \\ \lambda_k(x,0) &= \bar\lambda_k(x)\,. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar\lambda_1(x),\ldots,\bar\lambda_d(x)$ and $\bar\Psi(x))$ are the eigenvalues and the matrix of eigenvectors of $\breve V(x)$. There is a solution to (5.16) for large M in the case that V is real analytic and the eigenvalues $\bar\lambda_k$ are distinct for all x: since then also $\bar\lambda$ and $\bar\Psi$ are real analytic and Cauchy-Kovalesky's theorem, see [6], yields a local solution to the nonlinear system of partial differential equations (5.16).

6. The quantum observable initial data

Consider a given density operator $\hat f$ and the density, momentum and energy observables

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y,\tau) &= \mathrm{trace}\big(\hat\Psi\hat{\bar\rho}_\tau\hat\Psi^*\hat f\big)\,, \\ \rho u(y,\tau) &= \mathrm{trace}\big(\hat\Psi\hat{\bar p}_\tau\hat\Psi^*\hat f\big)\,, \\ E(y,\tau) &= \mathrm{trace}\big(\hat\Psi\hat{\bar E}_\tau\hat\Psi^*\hat f\big)\,, \end{aligned}$$

based on the symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \bar\rho_0 &= \sum_n \eta(y-x^n)\mathrm{I}\,, \\ \bar p_0 &= \sum_n \eta(y-x^n)p^n\mathrm{I}\,, \\ \bar E_0 &= \sum_n \eta(y-x^n)\big(\frac{|p^n|^2}{2}\mathrm{I} + \bar\Lambda^n(x)\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

To determine the initial data we need to set the initial density operator. In the quantum system we can only use observables to set the macroscopic initial data for the conservation law. The question is then what is the initial density matrix and which initial observables have known values?

Molecular dynamics simulations often seek the property that the classical equilibrium for the subsystem is the same as for the larger environment, since the subsystem is

supposed to model a larger system. The classical Gibbs density $e^{-\bar{H}/T}$ is the only differentiable function with this property in some sense, see [11]. We do not know if the symbol f_q for the quantum density $\hat{f}_q := e^{-\hat{\bar{H}}/T}$ has the same property in the case of a large number of particles $N \gg M$. Therefore, we here prefer to use the Weyl quantized classical Gibbs density $\widehat{e^{-\bar{H}/T}}$ as our reference initial density, as in Theorem 5.1, since its drawback of being a non time-independent solution to the quantum Liouville-von Neumann equation is mild in the following sense: [11] shows that the time derivative of an observable $\partial_t \mathrm{trace}(\hat{C}\hat{f}_t) = \mathcal{O}(M^{-1})$ is small, in the case C depends on a fixed number of coordinates much less than M and $\hat{f}_t$ solves the quantum Liouville equation

$$\partial_t \hat{f}_t = iM^{1/2}[\hat{f}_t, \hat{\bar{H}}]\,.$$

with initial data $f_0 = e^{-\bar{H}/T}$.

A basic equilibrium setting is then for the canonical ensemble, where $f = e^{-\bar{H}/T}$ and we only know the temperature T in a heat bath environment. If we also know the macroscopic initial data, we can use these macroscopic initial data to determine the density operator f by condition with respect to the given macroscopic initial data $\big(\rho(y), \rho u(y), E(y)\big)$, i.e. we consider a conditioned canonical ensemble and use a density operator that takes the form

$$\hat{f} = \Psi \widehat{\bar{\chi} e^{-\bar{H}/T}} \Psi^* / \mathrm{trace}(\widehat{\bar{\chi} e^{-\bar{H}/T}})\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Let the non negative cut-off function $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\chi_0(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\alpha| < \epsilon \\ 0, & \text{if } |\alpha| > 2\epsilon\,. \end{cases}$$

If only the initial density would be known, the conditioning with respect to the density could be by integrating with respect to the symbol

$$\chi_\rho(x; y) := \chi_0(|\sum_n \eta(y - x^n) - \rho_0(y)|)$$

where ρ_0 is the initial data we are aiming for, satisfying the total mass constraint $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = N$. Using $\bar{\chi} = \chi_\rho \mathrm{I}$ in the canonical ensemble, the macroscopic density observable becomes

$$\rho(y, \tau) = \frac{\mathrm{trace}\big(\hat{\bar{\rho}}_\tau \Psi(x)^* \widehat{\bar{\chi} e^{-\bar{H}/T}} \Psi(x)\big)}{\mathrm{trace}(\widehat{\bar{\chi} e^{-\bar{H}/T}})}\,.$$

Similarly, if also the initial momentum is known, the conditioning can be with respect to

$$\chi_{\rho p}(x, p; y) := \chi_0(|\sum_n \eta(y - x^n) p^n - p(y, 0)|)\chi_\rho(x; y)\,.$$

To determine the conditioning in the energy requires to know something about the distribution at the different levels. In the classical limit in the canonical ensemble the probability q_k^* to be in state k is

$$q_k^* := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_k(x)/T} \mathrm{d}x}{\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_j(x)/T} \mathrm{d}x}\,,$$

if we only known that we are in the canonical ensemble and not anything about ρ_0 and $p(y, 0)$, cf. (5.12) with $\chi = 1$. If we also know ρ_0 and $p(y, 0)$ we can more precisely use

$$q_j^* := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar{\chi}_{\rho p}(z) e^{-\bar{H}_{jj}(z)/T} \mathrm{d}z}{\sum_{k=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} \bar{\chi}_{\rho p}(z) e^{-\bar{H}_{kk}(z')/T} \mathrm{d}z'}\,, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d\,.$$

Finally, if also the initial energy is known, the conditioning can be with respect to

$$\bar{\chi}(x,p;y) := \chi_0\big(\big|E(y,0) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} q_k^* \sum_n \eta(y-x^n)(|p^n|^2/2 + \bar{\lambda}_k^n(x))\big|\big)\chi_{\rho p}(x,p;y)\,.$$

7. The partial derivatives $\partial_{r^{jk}}\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{x})$

The function $\tilde{\lambda}$ is defined by (3.1) on the set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N(N-1)/2}$ consisting of all vectors $r := (r^{12}, r^{13}, \ldots, r^{N-1N})$ such that there exist particle positions $x^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $r^{ij} = |x^i - x^j|$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. The goal here is to define the gradient $\nabla_r\tilde{\lambda}$ such that the chain rule $\nabla\lambda(x) = \big(\partial r/\partial x\big)^T \nabla_r\tilde{\lambda}(r)$ is valid on M. We therefore need to solve the linear equation $Av = b$ for $v = \nabla_r\tilde{\lambda}$, where we have used the notation $A = \big(\partial r/\partial x\big)^T$ and $b = \nabla\lambda$. Since this is an underdetermined linear system we choose the solution v that minimizes the l^2 norm. The stationary point to the Lagrangian $L(v,y) = |v|^2/2 + y \cdot (Av - b)$, where y is the Lagrange multiplier, has the solution

$$v = A^T(AA^T)^{-1}b. \tag{7.1}$$

Since generically the matrix A has full rank, equation (7.1) contains a computable expression for $v = \nabla_r\tilde{\lambda}$, which can be used in the expression for the stress tensor in (3.6).

References

[1] Admal N.C. and Tadmor E.B., *A unified interpretation of stress in molecular systems*, J. Elast. 100 (2010), 63-143.

[2] Cancés E., Defranceschi M., Kutzelnigg W., Le Bris C. and Maday Y., *Computational quantum chemistry: a primer, in: Handbook of numerical analysis. Volume X: computational chemistry*, Ph. Ciarlet and C. Le Bris eds. (North-Holland, 2003) 3-270.

[3] Chiodaroli E., De Lellis C. and Kreml O., *Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68 (2015), no. 7, 1157-1190.

[4] Dall'ara G. M., *Discreteness of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with non-negative matrix values potentials*, Journal of Functional Analysis 268, no. 12 (2015) 3649-3679.

[5] Fjordholm U.S., Käppeli R. , Mishra S. and Tadmor E. *Construction of approximate entropy measure valued solutions for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws.* Foundations of Computational Mathematics (2015) 1-65.

[6] Folland G.B., *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Princeton University Press, (1976).

[7] Frenkel D. and Smit B., *Understanding Molecular Simulation*, Academic Press New York, (2002).

[8] Hardy R. J., *Formulas for determining local properties in molecular dynamics simulations: Shock waves*, J. Chem. Phys. 76, no. 1 (1982), 622-628.

[9] Irving J.H. and Kirkwood J.G., *The statistical mechanical theory of transport processes. IV. The equations of hydrodynamics*, J. Chem. Phys. 18, no. 6 (1950), 817-829.

[10] Irving J.H. and Zwanzig R.W., *The statistical mechanical theory of transport processes. V. Quantum hydrodynamics*, J. Chem. Phys. 19, no. 9 (1951), 1173-1180.

[11] Kammonen A., Sandberg M. and Szepessy A., *Canonical quantum observables for molecular systems approximated by ab initio molecular dynamics*, arXiv:1611.04909.

[12] Kato T., *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1980).

[13] LeBris C., *Computational chemistry from the perspective of numerical analysis*, Acta Numerica, vol. 14, pp. 363–444, CUP, 2005.

[14] Lieb E. and Seiringer R., *The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics,* Cambridge University Press (2010).

[15] Marx D. and Hutter J., *Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics: Basic theory and advanced methods*, Cambridge University Press (2009).

[16] Noll W., *Die Herleiting der Grundgleichungen der Thermomechanik der Kontinua aus der statistischen Mechanik*, J. Rational Mech. Anal., 4 (1955), 627-646. English translation in arXiv:0810.0337v3.

[17] Stiepan H.-M. and Teufel S., *Semiclassical approximations for Hamiltonians with operatorvalued symbols*, Comm. Math. Phys. 320, no.3 (2013) 821-849.

[18] Webb E.B, Zimmerman J. A. and Seel S.C., *Reconsideration of continuum thermomechanical quantities in atomic scale simulations*, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids, 13 (2008), 221-266.
[19] Zworski M. *Semiclassical Analysis*, Providence, RI, American Mathematical Society (2012).

Institutionen för Matematik, Kungl. Tekniska Högskolan, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: msandb@kth.se

Institutionen för Matematik, Kungl. Tekniska Högskolan, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: szepessy@kth.se